To Roman Jackiw

Managing γ_5 in Dimensional Regularization and ABJ Anomaly [1]

Ruggero Ferrari [2]

Center for Theoretical Physics
Laboratory for Nuclear Science
and Department of Physics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
and
INFN, Sezione di Milano
via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy
(MIT-CTP4517 March 2014)

arXiv:1403.4212v3 [hep-th] 28 Feb 2015

Abstract

An integral representation is proposed for the trace involving γ_5 in dimensional regularization. Lorentz covariance is preserved. ABJ anomaly naturally follows. The Local Functional Equation associated to x-dependent chiral transformations is verified.

PACS: 11.10.Gh, 11.30.Rd, 11.40.Ha

[1] This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) under cooperative research agreement #DE FG02-05ER41360
[2] e-mail: ruggferr@mit.edu

1 Introduction

In dimensional regularization (Ref. [1],[2] and [3]) γ_5 has always been a very difficult object to deal with. Many important contributions to the topics are present in the literature. We provide an uncommented list of works [4], [1], [5]-[27], which is far from being complete.

In this paper an integral representation of the trace involving γ_5 is suggested

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_5) \\ & = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D \chi \, d^N \bar{c} \, \exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{c}_i p_{i\mu} \chi_\mu + \sum_{i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j \Big), \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{c}_i \quad \chi_\mu$ are Grassmannian variables. K is a real parameter related to the normalization of the trace.

The strategy is the following: for generic integer value of D we investigate on the properties of the integral representation proposed in (1). In particular a) we show that the Clifford's algebra of the gamma's is obeyed; b) the cyclicity of the trace is proved if no γ_5 is present (more precisely: no χ integration); c) if the γ_5 is present we require cyclicity of the trace operation.

Once these results are established, we assume that the amplitudes can be continued in D to become a meromorphic function in the complex plane. Then we can dimensionally regularize any Feynman amplitude and use those manipulations that are consistent with the regularization in eq. (1).
In the present paper we also provide a closed formula for the evaluation of the trace.

We test our proposal with the evaluation of the axial anomaly. ABJ result [28] and [29] is obtained in a very natural and elegant way.

Moreover a Local Functional Equation (LFE) [30]-[33] is derived and checked at one loop level. This fact is noteworthy: the LFE doesn't suffer of anomalies.

In order to make easy the notations we use Euclidean metric for indices and we change the name (χ for *chiral*)

$$\gamma_5 \to \gamma_\chi \, . \quad (2)$$

2 Integral Representation of Trace of Gamma's Product

We consider the trace of a generic product of gamma's [34]-[38], where the indices are saturated by vectors $p_{j\mu}$ ($\not{p}_j$ is a standard notation for $\gamma_\mu p_{j\mu}$). The discrete index j runs over the set of integers $\{1,\dots,N\}$, while the "component index" μ is an element of the set $\{1,\dots,D\}$ (of $\mathbb{N}$). Our aim is to find an integral representation for the trace with and without γ_χ.

We prove the integral representation by showing the validity of the gamma's algebra (Clifford) (with no mention to the dimension D). For integer D we prove the property of cyclicity and evaluate the algebra of γ_χ.

We use the standard properties of integration on Grassmannian real coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d\bar{c} = 0\\
&\int d\bar{c}\ \bar{c} = 1\\
&\bar{c}' \equiv -\bar{c}, \quad \Longrightarrow \int d\bar{c}'\ \bar{c}' = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

2.1 If N is even and no γ_χ is present

The trace can be written in terms of an integral over a set of Grassmannian variables $\bar{c}_j$

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N) &= K \int d^N\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\sum_{i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j\Big)\\
&= K \int d^N\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\sum \bar{c}_i h_{ij} \bar{c}_j\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$h_{ij} = -h_{ji} \equiv (p_i p_j) \quad \text{for } i<j. \tag{5}$$

In the expansion of the exponential only the monomials containing all $\bar{c}$ (and only once) yield non-zero result under integration. The monomials have the form

$$[\bar{c}_{i_1}(p_{i_1}p_{j_1})\bar{c}_{j_1}]\ [\bar{c}_{i_2}(p_{i_2}p_{j_2})\bar{c}_{j_2}]\ \dots \tag{6}$$

where $\{i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 \ldots\}$ is any permutation $\mathbb{P}$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ conditioned by

$$\begin{aligned} & i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \ldots \\ & i_1 < j_1,\ i_2 < j_2,\ i_3 < j_3,\ \ldots\ . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The integration over $d\bar{c}_{_N} \ldots d\bar{c}_1$ yields

$$\delta_{\mathbb{P}}[(p_{i_1} p_{j_1})]\ [(p_{i_2} p_{j_2})]\ [(p_{i_3} p_{j_3})]\ \ldots\ , \tag{8}$$

where $\delta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is the signature of the permutation.

We prove the validity of eq. (4) by showing that the algebra of the gamma's and cyclicity are obeyed.

2.2 The Algebra for even N (and no γ_χ)

We evaluate the algebra of the gamma's.

We start with

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\{\not{p}_1, \not{p}_2\}\ \not{p}_3 \ldots\ \not{p}_{_N}) = K \int d^{^N}\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\sum_{i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j\Big) \\ & + K \int d^{^N}\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\bar{c}_1 (p_2 p_1) \bar{c}_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_1 (p_2 p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_2 (p_1 p_j) \bar{c}_j \\ & + \sum_{i=3, i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In the second integral we rename $\bar{c}_1 \leftrightarrow \bar{c}_2$. The measure changes sign and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr(\{\not{p}_1, \not{p}_2\}\ \not{p}_3 \ldots\ \not{p}_{_N}) = K \int d^{^N}\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\sum_{i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j\Big) \\ & - K \int d^{^N}\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(-\bar{c}_1 (p_2 p_1) \bar{c}_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_2 (p_2 p_j) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_1 (p_1 p_j) \bar{c}_j \\ & + \sum_{i<j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j\Big) \\ & = K \int d^{^N}\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\sum_{i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j\Big)\Big(1 - \exp\Big(-2\bar{c}_1 (p_1 p_2) \bar{c}_2\Big)\Big) \\ & = K \int d^{^N}\bar{c}\, \Big[2\bar{c}_1 (p_1 p_2) \bar{c}_2\Big] \exp\Big(\sum_{2<i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By integrating over $d\bar{c}_2 d\bar{c}_1$ we finally get

$$Tr(\{\not{p}_1, \not{p}_2\}\ \not{p}_3 \dots\ \not{p}_N) = 2(p_1 p_2) Tr(\not{p}_3 \dots\ \not{p}_N). \tag{11}$$

Thus the algebra (Clifford) of the gamma's is in agreement with the representation in eq. (4)

$$\{\not{p}, \not{q}\} = 2(pq)\mathbb{I} \tag{12}$$

with

$$Tr(\mathbb{I}) = K. \tag{13}$$

2.3 Cyclicity for even N (and no γ_χ)

We check now cyclicity

$$Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_N\ \not{p}_1) = K \int d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_1\ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{j+1}) \bar{c}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_1) \bar{c}_N \Big). \tag{14}$$

We rename

$$\bar{c}_j \to \bar{c}_{j+1} \quad \bar{c}_N \to \bar{c}_1 \tag{15}$$

and get

$$Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_N\ \not{p}_1) = K \int d\bar{c}_1 d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_2\ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=2, i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j - \sum_{j=2}^{N} \bar{c}_1 (p_1 p_j) \bar{c}_j \Big). \tag{16}$$

Now the minus sign emerging by the ordering of the measure is compensated by the change of sign of the p_1- dependent terms in the exponential. Finally we obtain the identity

$$Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_N\ \not{p}_1) = Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_N). \tag{17}$$

Both eqs. (11) and (17) have been derived by using only the symmetry properties of the measure of the integral. This implies that these properties are valid for generic values of D.

3 If N is odd or N is even but γ_χ is present: the Clifford Algebra

We represent the trace involving a single γ_χ or an odd number of gamma's by an integral over Grassmann variables $\{\bar{c}_j\}$ and $\{\chi_\mu\}$.

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi)$$
$$= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi \, d^N\bar{c} \, \exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{c}_i p_{i\mu}\chi_\mu + \sum_{i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j)\bar{c}_j\Big). \quad (18)$$

As before the algebra of the gamma's is correctly implemented; in fact

$$Tr(\not{p}_2 \not{p}_1 \not{p}_3 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi \, d^N\bar{c} \, \exp\Big[\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\Big(\bar{c}_1 p_{2\mu}\chi_\mu$$
$$+c_2 p_{1\mu}\chi_\mu + \sum_{i=3}^{N} \bar{c}_i p_{i\mu}\chi_\mu\Big) + \bar{c}_1(p_2p_1)\bar{c}_2 + \sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_1(p_2p_j)\bar{c}_j$$
$$+\sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_2(p_1p_j)\bar{c}_j + \sum_{i=3,j>i}^{N} \bar{c}_i(p_ip_j)\bar{c}_j\Big]. \quad (19)$$

Again we rename $\bar{c}_1 \leftrightarrow \bar{c}_2$ and change the order in the measure

$$Tr(\not{p}_2 \not{p}_1 \not{p}_3 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = -i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi \, d^N\bar{c} \, \exp\Big[\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\Big(\bar{c}_2 p_{2\mu}\chi_\mu$$
$$+c_1 p_{1\mu}\chi_\mu + \sum_{i=3}^{N} \bar{c}_i p_{i\mu}\chi_\mu\Big) + \bar{c}_2(p_2p_1)\bar{c}_1 + \sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_2(p_2p_j)\bar{c}_j$$
$$+\sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_1(p_1p_j)\bar{c}_j + \sum_{i=3,j>i}^{N} \bar{c}_i(p_ip_j)\bar{c}_j\Big]$$
$$= -i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi \, d^N\bar{c} \, \exp\Big[\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{c}_i p_{i\mu}\chi_\mu\Big) - 2\bar{c}_1(p_2p_1)\bar{c}_2$$
$$+\sum_{j=3}^{N} \bar{c}_2(p_2p_j)\bar{c}_j + \sum_{j=2}^{N} \bar{c}_1(p_1p_j)\bar{c}_j + \sum_{i=3,j>i}^{N} \bar{c}_i(p_ip_j)\bar{c}_j\Big]. \quad (20)$$

Thus one gets

$$Tr(\{\not{p}_1, \not{p}_2\} \not{p}_3 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi \, d^N\bar{c} \, \exp\Big[\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{c}_i p_{i\mu}\chi_\mu\Big)\Big]$$

$$\Big(1-\exp(-2\bar{c}_1(p_2p_1)\bar{c}_2)\Big)\exp\Big[\sum_{i=1,j>i}^{N}\bar{c}_i(p_ip_j)\bar{c}_j\Big]$$
$$=i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}}K\int d^D\chi\, d^N\bar{c}\,\Big(2\bar{c}_1(p_2p_1)\bar{c}_2\Big)\exp\Big[\sum_{\mu}^{D}\Big(\sum_{i=3}^{N}\bar{c}_ip_{i\mu}\chi_\mu\Big)\Big]$$
$$\exp\Big[\sum_{i=3,j>i}^{N}\bar{c}_i(p_ip_j)\bar{c}_j\Big]=2(p_2p_1)Tr(\not{p}_3\ldots\not{p}_N\gamma_\chi)\,, \tag{21}$$

after the integration over $d\bar{c}_2d\bar{c}_1$.

The result of eq. (21) has been obtained for generic values of D, in fact the integration over χ's is not involved in the proof.

4 Cyclicity of Trace for generic D with γ_χ or odd N?

If γ_χ is present, cyclicity is a more complex issue. In fact this property of the trace would require

$$Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2\ \not{p}_3\ldots\not{p}_N\gamma_\chi)=Tr(\not{p}_2\ \not{p}_3\ldots\not{p}_N\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_1). \tag{22}$$

In the above equation the LHS is defined by eq. (18), but the RHS has not been defined yet. In the present approach we require that eq. (22) defines the RHS; thus preserving cyclicity.

Let us see what are the consequences of this assumption. From repeated use of eq. (21) it follows that ($\hat{}$ means that the factor has to be omitted)

$$Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2\ \not{p}_3\ldots\not{p}_N\gamma_\chi)=2\sum_{k=2}^{N}(-)^k(p_1p_k)Tr(\widehat{\not{p}_1}\ldots\widehat{\not{p}_k}\ldots\not{p}_N\gamma_\chi)$$
$$+(-)^{(N-1)}Tr(\not{p}_2\ldots\not{p}_N\ \not{p}_1\gamma_\chi). \tag{23}$$

Then cyclicity implies:

- for even N

$$Tr(\not{p}_2\ \not{p}_3\ldots\not{p}_N\{\gamma_\chi,\not{p}_1\})=Tr(\{\not{p}_1,\not{p}_2\ \not{p}_3\ldots\not{p}_N\}\gamma_\chi)$$
$$=2\sum_{k=2}^{N}(-)^k(p_1p_k)Tr(\widehat{\not{p}_1}\ldots\widehat{\not{p}_k}\ldots\not{p}_N\gamma_\chi) \tag{24}$$

- for odd N

$$Tr(\not{p}_2\ \not{p}_3 \dots\ \not{p}_N[\gamma_\chi, \not{p}_1]) = Tr([\not{p}_1, \not{p}_2\ \not{p}_3 \dots\ \not{p}_N]\gamma_\chi)$$
$$= 2\sum_{k=2}^{N}(-)^k(p_1p_k)Tr(\widehat{\not{p}_1} \dots \widehat{\not{p}_k} \dots\ \not{p}_N\gamma_\chi). \tag{25}$$

It should be stressed that eqs. (24) and (25) have been obtained with no conditions on D. Moreover it is worth noticing that both eqs. (24) and (25) are consistent with Lorentz covariance.

The algebra of γ_χ will be tested in the evaluation of the ABJ anomaly and in the validity of the LFE.

5 Moving γ_χ around

Let us elaborate on the conclusions of Section 4 and in particular on the implications of cyclicity. We now demonstrate that cyclity allows us to represent a situation where γ_χ is in arbitrary position.

We have made the assumption: represent a trace with one γ_χ to the right (eq. (18)) by

$$Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_N\gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}}K\int d^D\chi\, d^N\bar{c}\,\exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\bar{c}_ip_{i\mu}\chi_\mu$$
$$+\sum_{i=1,i<j}^{N}\bar{c}_i(p_ip_j)\bar{c}_j\Big). \tag{26}$$

With the same tools we want to represent

$$Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_N). \tag{27}$$

To achieve this, we consider the expression as in eq. (26) but with $\not{p}_N$ in the first position

$$Tr(\not{p}_N\ \not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}}K\int d^D\chi\, d^N\bar{c}\,\exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\sum_{i=2}^{N}\bar{c}_ip_{(i-1)\mu}\chi_\mu$$
$$+\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\bar{c}_1p_{N\mu}\chi_\mu+\sum_{i=2,i<j}^{N}\bar{c}_i(p_{i-1}p_{j-1})\bar{c}_j+\sum_{j=2}^{N}\bar{c}_1(p_Np_{j-1})\bar{c}_j\Big). \tag{28}$$

Finally we use cyclicity to obtain

$$Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_N) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi\, d^N\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\sum_{i=2}^{N}\bar{c}_i p_{(i-1)\mu}\chi_\mu$$
$$+\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\bar{c}_1 p_{N\mu}\chi_\mu + \sum_{i=2,i<j}^{N}\bar{c}_i(p_{i-1}p_{j-1})\bar{c}_j + \sum_{j=2}^{N}\bar{c}_1(p_N p_{j-1})\bar{c}_j\Big). \tag{29}$$

We can write a different expression if we rename the dummy integration variables

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{c}_j &\to \bar{c}_{j-1} \quad \text{for} \quad j>1\\ \bar{c}_1 &\to \bar{c}_N.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}&Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_N)\\ &= (-)^{N-1} i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi\, d^N\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\sum_{i=2}^{N}\bar{c}_{i-1} p_{(i-1)\mu}\chi_\mu\\ &+\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\bar{c}_N p_{N\mu}\chi_\mu + \sum_{i=2,i<j}^{N}\bar{c}_{i-1}(p_{i-1}p_{j-1})\bar{c}_{j-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{N}\bar{c}_N(p_N p_{j-1})\bar{c}_{j-1}\Big)\\ &= (-)^{N-1} i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi\, d^N\bar{c}\, \exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\bar{c}_i p_{i\mu}\chi_\mu\\ &+ \sum_{i=1,i<j}^{N-1}\bar{c}_i(p_i p_j)\bar{c}_j - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\bar{c}_j(p_j p_N)\bar{c}_N\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Comment: eq. (31) can be written with the usual trick as in eq. (21)

$$\begin{aligned}&Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_N)\\ &= (-)^{N-1} i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D\chi\, d^N\bar{c}\, \prod_{j=1}^{N-1}\Big(1 - 2\bar{c}_j(p_j p_N)\bar{c}_N\Big)\\ &\exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\bar{c}_i p_{i\mu}\chi_\mu + \sum_{i=1,i<j}^{N-1}\bar{c}_i(p_i p_j)\bar{c}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\bar{c}_j(p_j p_N)\bar{c}_N\Big)\\ &= (-)^{N-1} Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\ \not{p}_N\gamma_\chi)\\ &-2(-)^{N-1}\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}(p_j p_N)(-)^{N-j-1} Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \widehat{\not{p}_j} \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\widehat{\not{p}_N}\gamma_\chi).\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The last expression is an alternative definition of the shifted γ_χ:

$$\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_N) \\
&= (-)^{N-1} Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\ \not{p}_N\gamma_\chi) \\
&+ Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_N) + (-)^N Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\ \not{p}_N\gamma_\chi) \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

For even N

$$\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_N) \\
&= -Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\ \not{p}_N\gamma_\chi) + Tr(\{\ \not{p}_N, \not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\}\gamma_\chi) \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

For odd N

$$\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\gamma_\chi\ \not{p}_N) \\
&= Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}\ \not{p}_N\gamma_\chi) + Tr([\ \not{p}_N, \not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_{N-1}]\gamma_\chi). \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Eqs. (34) and (34) are in agreement with eqs. (24) and (25).

6 Unfolding γ_χ: as a Product of Gamma's

For integer values of D, eq. (18) tells that the trace is zero, unless $N \geq D$, in fact the integration over $d^D\chi$ is non-zero only if there are as many $\bar{c}_j$ as χ_μ. Moreover $N - D$ must be even. In particular for $N = D$

$$Tr(\not{p}_1\ \not{p}_2 \dots\ \not{p}_D\gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \det[p] = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_D} p_{1\mu_1} \dots p_{D\mu_D}\ , \quad (36)$$

that is γ_χ is proportional to the product of all gamma's. It is given by the unity for odd D.

This property can be traced in the integral representation (18). For the general case $N > D$ it is convenient to write eq. (18) in a different fashion. We first change notation: for $i = 1, \dots, D$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{c}_{N+i} \equiv \chi_i, \\
&p_{(N+i)\mu} = \delta_{i\mu}. \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(p_i p_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{for } i, j > N \\
&p_{i\mu} = (p_i p_{(N+\mu)}), \quad \text{for } i < N. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

With the new variables, eq. (18) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) \\
&= i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d\bar{c}_{(N+D)} \dots d\bar{c}_1 \ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j}^{(N+D)} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{39}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N \ \not{p}_{N+1} \dots \not{p}_{N+D}) \\
&= K \int d\bar{c}_{(N+D)} \dots \bar{c}_1 \ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j}^{(N+D)} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j \Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{40}
$$

Once the trace is written in the canonical form eq. (39) or eq. (40) one can apply the results of Section 2 about the Clifford algebra in eq. (12) and those of Section 2.3 for cyclicity as in eq. (17).

This argument shows that the properties of the integral representations are identical for integer D to those of the standard irreducible representation in terms of matrices.

6.1 More over Cyclicity

The above argument is the final answer to the check of the integral representation for integer D . However we shall explicitly verify some of the formulae in order to get used to the relevant algebraic manipulations. In particular we consider again the issue of cyclicity for integer D and $N > D$.

By using cyclicity as in eq. (17) and the gamma's algebra one gets

$$
Tr(\not{p}_1 \ \not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = (-)^{(D-1)} Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_N \ \not{p}_1 \gamma_\chi). \tag{41}
$$

Let us give a formal proof of the above equation by using the representation (40)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_N \ \not{p}_1 \ \not{p}_{N+1} \dots \not{p}_{N+D}) \\
&= K \int d\bar{c}_{(N+D)} \dots d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_1 \ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j \leq N}^{N-1} \Big[\bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{j+1}) \bar{c}_j \Big] \Big|_{p_{N+1} = p_1} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} \Big|_{p_{N+1} = p_1} p_{N+j}) \bar{c}_{N+j} \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{42}
$$

and a similar one for

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_N \ \not{p}_{N+1} \dots \not{p}_{N+D} \ \not{p}_1) \\
&= K \int d\bar{c}_{(N+D)} \dots d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_1 \ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j<N}^{N} \Big[\bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{j+1}) \bar{c}_j \Big] \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_1) \bar{c}_{N+D} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{N+j}) \bar{c}_{N+j-1} \Big). \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}
$$

We need

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_N \ \not{p}_1 \ \not{p}_{N+1} \dots \not{p}_{N+D}) + (-)^D Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_N \ \not{p}_{N+1} \dots \not{p}_{N+D} \ \not{p}_1) \\
&= K \int d\bar{c}_{(N+D)} \dots d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_1 \ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j\leq N}^{N-1} \Big[\bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{j+1}) \bar{c}_j \Big] \Big|_{p_{N+1}=p_1} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} \Big|_{p_{N+1}=p_1} p_{N+j}) \bar{c}_{N+j} \Big) \\
&+ (-)^D K \int d\bar{c}_{(N+D)} \dots d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_1 \ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j<N}^{N} \Big[\bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{j+1}) \bar{c}_j \Big] \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N+D-1} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_1) \bar{c}_{N+D} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{N+j}) \bar{c}_{N+j-1} \Big). \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second term in eq. (44) we rename $\bar{c}$ according to the following table

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\bar{c}_N \to \bar{c}_{N+1} \\
&\bar{c}_{N+j} \to \bar{c}_{N+j+1}, \quad j < D \\
&\bar{c}_{N+D} \to \bar{c}_N . \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we recover the order of the product in the measure. Thus we get a factor $(-)^D$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_N \ \not{p}_1 \ \not{p}_{N+1} \dots \not{p}_{N+D}) + (-)^D Tr(\not{p}_2 \dots \not{p}_N \ \not{p}_{N+1} \dots \not{p}_{N+D} \ \not{p}_1) \\
&= K \int d\bar{c}_{(N+D)} \dots d\bar{c}_N \dots d\bar{c}_1 \Big\{ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j\leq N}^{N-1} \Big[\bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{j+1}) \bar{c}_j \Big] \Big|_{p_{N+1}=p_1} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{D} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} \Big|_{p_{N+1}=p_1} p_{N+j}) \bar{c}_{N+j} \Big) \\
&+ \exp\Big(\sum_{i=1, i<j<N}^{N} \Big[\bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_{j+1}) \bar{c}_j \Big] + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{c}_i (p_{i+1} p_1) \bar{c}_N
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{D}\bar{c}_{N}(p_1 p_{N+i})\bar{c}_{N+i}+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\sum_{j=1}^{D}\bar{c}_i(p_{i+1}p_{N+j})\bar{c}_{N+j}\Big)\Big\} \tag{46}$$

$$= K\int d\bar{c}_{(N+D)}\ldots d\bar{c}_N\ldots d\bar{c}_1\left(1+\prod_{i=1}^{D}\Big[1-2\bar{c}_N(p_1 p_{N+i})\bar{c}_{N+i}\Big]\right)$$
$$\exp\Big\{\sum_{i=1,i<j\leq N}^{N-1}\Big[\bar{c}_i(p_{i+1}p_{j+1})\bar{c}_j\Big]\Big|_{p_{N+1}=p_1}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{D}\bar{c}_i(p_{i+1}\Big|_{p_{N+1}=p_1}$$
$$p_{N+j})\bar{c}_{N+j}\Big\}. \tag{47}$$

The result can be easily interpreted as

$$\text{eq.}(44)=2Tr\Big(\not{p}_2\ldots\not{p}_N\ \not{p}_1\ \not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}\Big)$$
$$-2\sum_{j=1}^{D}(p_1,p_{N+j})(-)^{j-1}Tr\Big(\not{p}_2\ldots\not{p}_N\widehat{\not{p}_1}\ \not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\widehat{\not{p}_{N+j}}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}\Big) \tag{48}$$

For even D eq. (48) becomes

$$Tr\Big(\not{p}_2\ldots\not{p}_N\Big[\not{p}_1,\not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}]\Big)$$
$$=2\sum_{j=1}^{D}(p_1,p_{N+j})(-)^{j-1}Tr\Big(\not{p}_2\ldots\not{p}_N\widehat{\not{p}_1}\ \not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\widehat{\not{p}_{N+j}}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}\Big) \tag{49}$$

and for odd D

$$Tr\Big(\not{p}_2\ldots\not{p}_N\Big\{\not{p}_1,\not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}\Big\}\Big)$$
$$=2\sum_{j=1}^{D}(p_1,p_{N+j})(-)^{j-1}Tr\Big(\not{p}_2\ldots\not{p}_N\widehat{\not{p}_1}\ \not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\widehat{\not{p}_{N+j}}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}\Big) \tag{50}$$

Finally eq. (41) is obtained from eqs. (49) and (50) by using the identity

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{D}(p_1,p_{N+j})(-)^{j-1}\ \not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\widehat{\not{p}_{N+j}}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}$$
$$=2\sum_{j=1}^{D}(p_1)_j(-)^{j-1}\ \not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\widehat{\not{p}_{N+j}}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}$$
$$=2\sum_{j=1}^{D}(p_1)_j(-)^{j-1}\ \not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\widehat{\not{p}_{N+j}}\gamma_j^2\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}$$
$$=2\ \not{p}_1\ \not{p}_{N+1}\ldots\not{p}_{N+D}. \tag{51}$$

The relation (41) implies

$$\begin{cases} \{\gamma_\chi, \gamma_\nu\} = 0, & \forall \nu = 1 \dots D \quad \text{for even } D \\ [\gamma_\chi, \gamma_\nu] = 0, & \forall \nu = 1 \dots D \quad \text{for odd } D\,. \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

We match the representation of the trace in eq. (18) with the standard matrix expressions. The matrix representation of the gamma's is assumed to be irreducible thus we choose the phase

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\chi &= (i)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_D \quad \text{for even } D \\ \gamma_\chi &= (i)^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} \mathbb{I} \quad \text{for odd } D. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

7 When D is an Integer: Trace in Closed Form

Now we study the integral representation in eq. (18) for integer D. By using a theorem in general matrix theory [39], the rectangular matrix $p_{j\mu}$, $_{j=1,\dots,N}$ can be brought to a diagonal form Σ by suitable unitary transformations U and $V^\dagger$

$$p = U \Sigma V^\dagger. \tag{54}$$

U is a $N \times N$ and V is $D \times D$ matrix (singular value decomposition). Both U and V can be orthogonal matrices if p is a real matrix. Σ is unique if the eigenvalues are positive or zero and ordered

$$\Sigma_{i\mu} = \sigma_i \delta_{i\mu}, \qquad \sigma_i \geq \sigma_{i+1} \geq 0, \qquad 1 \leq i < min(D, N). \tag{55}$$

We can change variable of integration by unitary transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c}_i &\to \bar{c}_j U^\dagger_{ji} \\ \chi_\mu &\to V_{\mu\mu'} \chi_{\mu'}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

The ensuing integrations on the χ's can be non-zero only if the rank of the matrix $\{p\}$ is equal to D. Thus it is necessary that $N \geq D$. Consequently if $N > D$ the integration over $\bar{c}_j$ is non zero only if $N - D$ is even. Thus

$$n = \frac{1}{2}(N - D) \tag{57}$$

is a positive integer.

The bilinear term in $\bar{c}$ can be written (as in eq. (4))

$$\sum_{i,j=1,i<j}^{N} \bar{c}_i (p_i p_j) \bar{c}_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{c}_i h_{[ij]} \bar{c}_j,$$
$$h_{ij}|_{i<j} = (p_i p_j) = U_{ii'} \sigma_{i'}^2 U_{i'j}^\dagger. \tag{58}$$

The integral representation (18) becomes

$$Tr(\not{p}_1 \dots \not{p}_N \gamma_\chi) = i^{\frac{D(D-1)}{2}} K \int d^D \chi \, d^N \bar{c}$$
$$\exp\Big(\sum_{\mu=1}^{D} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \bar{c}_i \Sigma_{i\mu} \chi_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab=D+1}^{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \bar{c}_a U_{ai}^\dagger h_{ij} U_{jb} \bar{c}_b \Big), \tag{59}$$

where the limits on the sums are dictated by the form of Σ as in eq. (55).

In the present problem both U and V can be chosen to be orthogonal matrices, then the matrix

$$H_{ab} \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} U_{ai}^\dagger h_{ij} U_{jb}, \quad a, b = D+1, \dots, N \tag{60}$$

is skew-symmetric. The integration over $\bar{c}$ is a standard result in matrix theory (Pfaffian) [34]

$$Pf(H) = \int d^{(N-D)} \bar{c} \quad \exp \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sum_{a,b=D+1}^{N} \bar{c}_a H_{ab} \bar{c}_b \Big)$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbb{P}}{}' \delta_{\mathbb{P}} H i_1 j_1 H i_2 j_2 H i_3 j_3 \dots \tag{61}$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ is any permutation of $D+1, \dots, N$ and $\delta_{\mathbb{P}}$ is its signature. The sum $\sum'$ is restricted to the permutations satisfying the conditions

$$i_k < i_{k+1} \text{ and } i_k < j_k, \forall k \,. \tag{62}$$

The above expression can be evaluated by using the relation (Thomas Muir)

$$\Big[Pf(H) \Big]^2 = det[H] \,. \tag{63}$$

Thus the singular value decomposition of the matrix $p_{i\mu}$ allows a straightforward evaluation of the trace in even dimension (γ_χ present) or in odd dimensions.

8 ABJ Anomaly

We use the algebra for γ_χ developed in Section 4 in order to evaluate the ABJ anomaly [28][29]. We consider a massless fermion triangle, where one vertex is given by an axial current. Thus we consider the integral (p is the incoming momentum on the vertex σ and k on ρ; crossed graph will be considered at the end)

$$T_{\mu\rho\sigma}(k,p) = -i\int \frac{d^Dq}{(2\pi)^D}\ \frac{Tr\left\{\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\ (q-k)_\alpha\gamma_\alpha\ \gamma_\rho\ q_\beta\gamma_\beta\ \gamma_\sigma\ (q+p)_\iota\gamma_\iota\right\}}{(q-k)^2q^2(q+p)^2} \quad (64)$$

Now we use Feynman parametrization and get

$$\begin{aligned}&-iTr\ \Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\ \gamma_\alpha\ \gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\ \gamma_\sigma\ \gamma_\iota\Big)2\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\int\frac{d^Dq}{(2\pi)^D}\\ &(q+r-k)_\alpha\ (q+r)_\beta(q+r+p)_\iota\\ &\Big[q^2+k^2y+p^2x-p^2y-(ky-px+py)^2\Big]^{-3},\end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

$$r_\nu \equiv (yk-xp+yp)_\nu. \quad (66)$$

We use the simplified case

$$k^2 = p^2 = 0. \quad (67)$$

After symmetric integration over q we can split the integral into a divergent

$$\begin{aligned}&-i\frac{2}{D}\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\Big(\delta_{\alpha\beta}(r+p)_\iota+\delta_{\alpha\iota}r_\beta+\delta_{\beta\iota}(r-k)_\alpha\Big)\\ &\Big(-\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\Big)\Big[\frac{2}{D-4}+\gamma+2-\ln 4\pi+\ln 2pky(y-x)\Big]\end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

and finite part

$$\begin{aligned}&-i2\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy(r-k)_\alpha r_\beta(r+p)_\iota\int\frac{d^Dq}{(2\pi)^D}\frac{1}{(q^2-2kpy(y-x))^3}\\ &=-\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{pk}\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy(r-k)_\alpha r_\beta(r+p)_\iota\frac{1}{2y(y-x)}.\end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

In front of the two amplitudes (68) and (69) the gamma's trace must be expanded in powers of $(D-4)$ as required by eq. (64). For the finite part in eq. (69) we can use the $D=4$ expression, but for the divergent part one needs also the linear part. Let us use the representation of γ_χ provided

by eq. (18) in order to tackle the problem. According to the discussion of the Section 4 we know that the algebra of γ_χ for non-integer D is rather complicated as shown in eqs. (24) and (25). Then we use instead the algebra of the gamma's in eq. (11) which has been proved valid for generic D; i.e. we do not change the relative position of γ_χ with respect to the remaining factors in the trace. Thus in eq. (64), according to eq. (68) we evaluate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\delta_{\alpha\beta} = [-2-(D-4)]Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\\
&Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\delta_{\beta\iota} = [-2-(D-4)]Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\Big)\\
&Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\delta_{\alpha\iota} = Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\\
&\Big[(2-(D-4))\gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\gamma_\sigma - 4(\delta_{\rho\beta}\gamma_\sigma - \delta_{\rho\sigma}\gamma_\beta + \delta_{\sigma\beta}\gamma_\rho)\Big]\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{70}
$$

We collect the non-zero part associated to the amplitude (68).

8.1 Contribution of the Divergent Integral

Start with eq. (68)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-i\frac{2}{D}\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\Big(\delta_{\alpha\beta}(r+p)_\iota + \delta_{\alpha\iota}r_\beta + \delta_{\beta\iota}(r-k)_\alpha\Big)\\
&\Big(-\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\Big)\Big[\frac{2}{D-4}+\gamma+2-\ln 4\pi+\ln 2pky(y-x)\Big]\\
&=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{2}{D}Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\\
&\Big(2(3r+p-k)+(D-4)(r+p-k)\Big)_\iota\\
&\Big[\frac{2}{D-4}+\gamma+2-\ln 4\pi+\ln 2pky(y-x)\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{71}
$$

By using

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\Big[3(yk-xp+yp)+p-k\Big]=0\\
&\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy(yk-xp+yp+p-k)=\frac{1}{3}(p-k)
\end{aligned}
\tag{72}
$$

one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-i\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{2}{D}Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\\
&\Big(2(3r+p-k)+(D-4)(r+p-k)\Big)_\iota
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\Big[\frac{2}{D-4}+\gamma+2-\ln 4\pi+\ln 2pky(x-y)\Big]$$
$$=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{2}Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)$$
$$\left(\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy 2(3r+p-k)_\iota \ln y(x-y)+\frac{2}{3}(p-k)_\iota\right). \qquad (73)$$

Finally we use

$$\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\ln[y(x-y)]=-\frac{3}{2}$$
$$\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy\ y\ \ln[y(x-y)]=-\frac{4}{9}$$
$$\int_0^1 dx\ x\ \int_0^x dy\ \ln[y(x-y)]=-\frac{8}{9} \qquad (74)$$

and get

$$-i\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{2}Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)$$
$$\left(\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy 2(3r+p-k)_\iota \ln y(x-y)+\frac{2}{3}(p-k)_\iota\right)$$
$$=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{2}Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\left(-\frac{1}{3}(p-k)_\iota+\frac{2}{3}(p-k)_\iota\right)$$
$$=\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{2}Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\frac{1}{3}(p-k)_\iota. \qquad (75)$$

The divergence of the axial current is obtained by multiplying with $(p+k)^\mu$

$$-i(k+p)^\mu\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{2}Tr\Big(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\iota\Big)\frac{1}{3}(p-k)_\iota$$
$$=-\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\Big(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\ \not{p}\gamma_\sigma\ \not{k}\Big)\frac{1}{3}. \qquad (76)$$

By adding the crossed graph

$$(k+p)^\mu\Big(T^{\rm DIV}_{\mu\rho\sigma}(k,p)+T^{\rm DIV}_{\mu\sigma\rho}(p,k)\Big)=-\frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\Big(\gamma_\chi\gamma_\rho\ \not{p}\gamma_\sigma\ \not{k}\Big). \qquad (77)$$

8.2 Contribution of the Convergent Integral

Further finite terms can be evaluated directly at $D=4$. From eq. (65) the finite integral contribution to the triangular graph is (always in the case

$k^2 = p^2 = 0$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&T^{\rm FIN}_{\mu\rho\sigma}(k,p) \\
&= -iTr\left\{\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\ \gamma_\alpha\ \gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\ \gamma_\sigma\ \gamma_\iota\right\}2\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy(k(y-1)+p(y-x))_\alpha \\
&(ky+p(y-x))_\beta(ky+p(y-x+1))_\iota(-\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2})\frac{1}{4pky(y-x)} \qquad (78)
\end{aligned}
$$

By repeated use of the identity

$$\not{k}\gamma_\rho\ \not{k} = -k^2\gamma_\rho + 2k_\rho\ \not{k}. \qquad (79)$$

one shows that only two forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
Tr\left\{\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\ \gamma_\alpha\ \gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\ \gamma_\sigma\ \gamma_\iota\right\}p^\alpha k^\beta p^\iota &= -2p_\mu Tr\left\{\gamma_5\ \gamma_\rho\ \not{k}\ \gamma_\sigma\ \ \not{p}\right\} \\
Tr\left\{\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\ \gamma_\alpha\ \gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\ \gamma_\sigma\ \gamma_\iota\right\}k^\alpha p^\beta k^\iota &= -2k_\mu Tr\left\{\gamma_5\ \gamma_\rho\ \not{p}\ \gamma_\sigma\ \ \not{k}\right\} \qquad (80)
\end{aligned}
$$

give non-zero contribution to the divergence of the current

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(k+p)^\mu T^{\rm FIN}_{\mu\rho\sigma}(k,p) \\
&= -iTr\left\{(k+p)^\mu\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\ \gamma_\alpha\ \gamma_\rho\gamma_\beta\ \gamma_\sigma\ \gamma_\iota\right\}2\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy(k(y-1)+p(y-x))_\alpha \\
&(ky+p(y-x))_\beta(ky+p(y-x+1))_\iota(-\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2})\frac{1}{4pky(y-x)} \\
&= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\left\{\gamma_5\ \ \gamma_\rho\ \not{p}\ \gamma_\sigma\ \ \not{k}\right\}\int_0^1 dx\int_0^x dy((y-1)-(y-x+1)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\left\{\gamma_5\ \ \gamma_\rho\ \not{p}\ \gamma_\sigma\ \ \not{k}\right\}\frac{2}{3}. \qquad (81)
\end{aligned}
$$

The contribution of the finite integral to the divergence is then

$$(k+p)^\mu(T^{\rm FIN}_{\mu\rho\sigma}(k,p) + T^{\rm FIN}_{\mu\sigma\rho}(p,k)) = -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\left\{\gamma_5\ \gamma_\rho\ \not{p}\ \gamma_\sigma\ \ \not{k}\right\}\frac{4}{3} \qquad (82)$$

Finally the sum of the contributions in eq. (77) and (82) is

$$(k+p)^\mu(T_{\mu\rho\sigma}(k,p) + T_{\mu\sigma\rho}(p,k)) = -\frac{2}{(4\pi)^2}Tr\left\{\gamma_5\ \gamma_\rho\ \not{p}\ \gamma_\sigma\ \ \not{k}\right\}, \qquad (83)$$

which agrees with the ABJ anomaly.

9 Local Functional Equation

Once we have discovered that γ_χ has a complicated behavior in D dimension, we must test our formalism in the path integral. The LFE has been discussed at length in Ref. [30]. Here we give the essential steps. The functional is

$$Z[A] = \int \prod_x \prod_\mu d\bar{\psi}_\mu(x) \prod_{\mu'} d\psi_{\mu'}(x) e^{i\mathcal{S}[A]} \tag{84}$$

where the action ($e = 1$) is function of the external vector field $A_\mu(x)$

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^D x \bar{\psi}(i\ \partial\!\!\!/ - A\!\!\!/)\psi. \tag{85}$$

The path integral measure is Lorentz invariant. Moreover it is invariant under the $U(1)$ local chiral transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\to e^{i\alpha(x)\gamma_\chi}\psi \\ \psi^\dagger &\to \psi^\dagger e^{-i\alpha(x)\gamma_\chi} \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

since the Jacobian of the transformation is equal one. In fact

$$\prod_\mu d\psi_\mu \to \det(e^{i\alpha(x)\gamma_\chi}) \prod_\mu d\psi_\mu = e^{i\alpha(x) Tr(\gamma_\chi)} \prod_\mu d\psi_\mu. \tag{87}$$

Thus if we perform a substitution in the path integral variables according to eq. (86) the functional Z does not change. For infinitesimal parameter α one gets

$$\Big\langle \Big(-\bar{\psi}\gamma_0\gamma_\chi\gamma_0(i\ \partial\!\!\!/ - A\!\!\!/)\psi + \bar{\psi}(i\ \partial\!\!\!/ - A\!\!\!/)\gamma_\chi\psi - i\partial^\mu(\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\chi\psi)\Big)\Big\rangle = 0, \tag{88}$$

where the brackets $\langle\cdots\rangle$ denote the mean value with the path integral measure of eq. (84).

If one uses the naive commutation relations of γ_χ (i.e. $\{\gamma_\chi, \gamma_\mu\} = 0$) the first two first terms from the left in eq. (88) cancel out.

In Section 2 we have found that γ_χ has complicated behavior. Then one must evaluate at one loop the expressions in eq. (88) according the rules of eqs. (24) and (25). The results will be compared with eq. (83).

A single interaction insertion gives zero since it depends only on k or p; never on both. Consequently no completely antisymmetric tensor can emerge. We need two insertions: the triangular graph. We consider only the one that can provide some non-zero contributions

$$T_{\rho\sigma}(k,p) = \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{Tr\ \Big[\cancel{(q-k)}\ \gamma_\chi\ \cancel{(q-k)}\ \gamma_\rho\ \not{q}\ \gamma_\sigma\ \cancel{(q+p)}\Big]}{(q-k)^2 q^2 (q+p)^2}. \tag{89}$$

The gamma's algebra gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr\left[\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\chi\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right]\\
&=-Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right]\\
&+Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\left\{\cancel{(q-k)},\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{90}
$$

In the first term of the RHS the dependence on k disappears, thus it can be neglected. We consider the remaining terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\left\{\cancel{(q-k)},\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right\}\right]\\
&=2(q-k)^2Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right]\\
&-2(q-k)_\rho Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right]\\
&+2(q-k)qTr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right]\\
&-2(q-k)_\sigma Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right]\\
&+2(q-k)(q+p)Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\gamma_\sigma\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{91}
$$

All terms containing $(\not{q})^2$ or $(\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,)^2$ or $(\,\cancel{(q+p)}\,)^2$ should be neglected since no ϵ term can emerge. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\left\{\cancel{(q-k)},\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right\}\right]\\
&=2(q-k)^2Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right]\\
&+2(q-k)_\rho Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\;\;\not{k}\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\;\;\not{p}\right]\\
&-2(q-k)qTr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\cancel{(p+k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right]\\
&+2(q-k)_\sigma Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\;\;\not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\;\;\not{q}\;\;\not{p}\right]\\
&-2(q-k)(q+p)Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\;\;\not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\gamma_\sigma\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{92}
$$

Now we shift q

$$
q\longrightarrow q+r\qquad r\equiv yk-xp+yp \tag{93}
$$

and we drop all terms that are zero as a result of the symmetric integration

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\left\{\cancel{(q-k)},\,\cancel{(q-k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{q}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(q+p)}\right\}\right]\\
&=q^2\frac{2}{D}\Bigg(2Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\cancel{(r-k)}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right]+DTr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{r}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] - Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \cancel{(p+k)}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\cancel{(2r-k)}\right] \\
&-D(1-x)Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] + Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] \\
&-Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\cancel{(2r+p-k)}\gamma_\sigma\right] - DTr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{r}\gamma_\sigma\right]\Bigg) \\
&= q^2\frac{2}{D}\Bigg(2(y-1)Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{k}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] + DyTr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{k}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] \\
&+Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] - (2x-1)Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\not{p}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{k}\right] \\
&-D(1-x)Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] + Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] \\
&-[2(y-x)+1]Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{p}\gamma_\sigma\right] + D(x-y)Tr\left[\gamma_\chi \not{k}\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{p}\gamma_\sigma\right]\Bigg) \\
&\simeq q^2\frac{2}{D}Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{k}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right]\Bigg\{2(y-1)+yD-1-(2x-1) \\
&+(1-x)D-1-2(y-x)-1+(x-y)D\Bigg\} \\
&= q^2\frac{2}{D}Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{k}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right]\Big\{-4+D\Big\}. \qquad (94)
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor $D-4$ is expected, since in four dimensions γ_χ anti-commutes with all γ_μ and therefore $T_{\rho\sigma}(k,p)$ is zero from start in eq. (89).

The integration over x, y gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= q^2\frac{2}{D}Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{k}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right]\frac{D-4}{2} \\
&= q^2\frac{D-4}{D}Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{k}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{p}\right] \qquad (95)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we multiply by 2 (the Feynman parameter), 2 (the crossed graph), $-\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{2}{D-4}$ from q integration. We get

$$
2\frac{i}{(4\pi)^2}\,Tr\left[\gamma_\chi\,\gamma_\rho\,\not{p}\,\gamma_\sigma\,\not{k}\right]. \qquad (96)
$$

Thus the results in eqs. (83) and (96) do satisfy the LFE identity in eq. (88).

Acknowledgements

I gratefully acknowledge the warm hospitality of the Department of Physics of the University of Pisa and of the INFN, Sezione di Pisa.

References

[1] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, "Regularization And Renormalization Of Gauge Fields," Nucl. Phys. B **44**, 189 (1972).

[2] C. G. Bollini and J. J. Giambiagi, "Dimensional Renormalization: The Number Of Dimensions As A Regularizing Nuovo Cim. B **12**, 20 (1972).

[3] G. M. Cicuta and E. Montaldi, "Analytic Renormalization Via Continuous Space Dimension," Lett. Nuovo Cim. **4**, 329 (1972).

[4] L. Rosenberg, "Electromagnetic interactions of neutrinos," Phys. Rev. **129**, 2786 (1963).

[5] P. Breitenlohner and D. Maison, "Dimensional Renormalization And The Action Principle," Commun. Math. Phys. **52**, 11 (1977).

[6] M. S. Chanowitz, M. Furman and I. Hinchliffe, "The Axial Current in Dimensional Regularization," Nucl. Phys. B **159**, 225 (1979).

[7] G. Bonneau, "Consistency in Dimensional Regularization With γ_5," Phys. Lett. B **96**, 147 (1980).

[8] G. Bonneau, "Preserving Canonical Ward Identities in Dimensional Regularization With a Nonanticommuting γ_5," Nucl. Phys. B **177**, 523 (1981).

[9] V. Elias, G. McKeon and R. B. Mann, "Vva Triangle Graph Ambiguities in Four-dimensions and N-dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B **229**, 487 (1983).

[10] G. Thompson and H. L. Yu, "Gamma(5) In Dimensional Regularization," Phys. Lett. B **151**, 119 (1985).

[11] M. I. Abdelhafiz and M. Zralek, "The $\gamma(5)$ and Dimensional Regularization," Acta Phys. Polon. B **18**, 21 (1987).

[12] H. -L. Yu and W. B. Yeung, "Dimensional Regularization And The Gamma (5) Axial Anomaly," Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3955 (1987).

[13] C. Schubert, "The Yukawa Model as an Example for Dimensional Renormalization With γ (5)," Nucl. Phys. B **323**, 478 (1989).

[14] I. An and H. - S. Song, "One way to solve the puzzle of gamma(5) in the dimensional regularization," Commun. Theor. Phys. **12**, 201 (1989).

[15] P. A. Baikov and V. A. Ilyin, "The Modification of the standard dimensional regularization rules removing spurious gamma(5) anomalies," MGU-90-49-195.

[16] J. G. Korner, D. Kreimer and K. Schilcher, "A Practicable gamma(5) scheme in dimensional regularization," Z. Phys. C **54**, 503 (1992).

[17] P. A. Baikov and V. A. Ilyin, "Status of gamma(5) in dimensional regularization," Theor. Math. Phys. **88**, 789 (1991) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **88**, 163 (1991)].

[18] V. I. Kucheryavyi, "Dimensional regularization with natural n-dimensional analog of the gamma(5) Dirac matrix and its algorithmic applications," ITF-92-66-E.

[19] C. Schubert, "On the gamma(5) problem of dimensional renormalization," HD-THEP-93-46.

[20] G. T. Gabadadze and A. A. Pivovarov, "Gamma(5) anomaly: some approaches in dimensional regularization," In *Oberammergau 1993, New computing techniques in physics research III* 491-497

[21] D. Kreimer, "The Role of gamma(5) in dimensional regularization," hep-ph/9401354.

[22] R. Ferrari, A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver and J. C. Raynal, "Gauge invariance and dimensional regularization with gamma(5) in flavor changing neutral processes," Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3036 (1995).

[23] P. A. Baikov, V. A. Ilyin and D. A. Slavnov, "A Modification of the standard dimensional regularization rules removing spurious gamma 5 anomalies," In *Zvenigorod 1993, Proceedings, High energy physics and quantum field theory, Physics at VLEPP* 175-180

[24] C. P. Martin and D. Sanchez-Ruiz, "Action principles, restoration of BRS symmetry and the renormalization group equation for chiral nonAbelian gauge theories in dimensional renormalization with a nonanticommuting gamma(5)," Nucl. Phys. B **572**, 387 (2000) [hep-th/9905076].

[25] D. Sanchez-Ruiz, "BRS symmetry restoration of chiral Abelian Higgs-Kibble theory in dimensional renormalization with a nonanticommuting gamma(5)," Phys. Rev. D **68**, 025009 (2003) [hep-th/0209023].

[26] E. -C. Tsai, "Gauge Invariant Treatment of γ_5 in the Scheme of 't Hooft and Veltman," Phys. Rev. D **83**, 025020 (2011) [arXiv:0905.1550 [hep-th]].

[27] E. -C. Tsai, "The Advantage of Rightmost Ordering for gamma(5) in Dimensional Regularization," arXiv:0905.1479 [hep-th].

[28] S. L. Adler, "Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics," Phys. Rev. **177**, 2426 (1969).

[29] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, "A PCAC puzzle: pi0 $\to$ gamma gamma in the sigma model," Nuovo Cim. A **60** (1969) 47.

[30] R. Ferrari, "Endowing the nonlinear sigma model with a flat connection structure: A way to renormalization," JHEP **0508**, 048 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504023].

[31] R. Ferrari and A. Quadri, "A weak power-counting theorem for the renormalization of the non-linear sigma model in four dimensions," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **45**, 2497 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0506220].

[32] D. Bettinelli, R. Ferrari and A. Quadri, "Further comments on the renormalization of the nonlinear sigma model," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 211 (2008) [arXiv:hep-th/0701197].

[33] D. Bettinelli, R. Ferrari and A. Quadri, "Path-integral over nonlinearly realized groups and hierarchy solutions," JHEP **0703** (2007) 065 [arXiv:hep-th/0701212].

[34] S. Fubini and E. R. Caianiello, "On the Algorithm of Dirac spurs," Nuovo Cim. **9**, 1218 (1952).

[35] R. H. Good, "Properties of the Dirac Matrices" Rev. Mod. Phys. **27**, 187 (1955)

[36] J. S. R. Chisholm, "Generalization of the kahane algorithm for scalar products of gamma-matrices," Comput. Phys. Commun. **4**, 205 (1972). Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **48** 2,300 (1952).

[37] J. Kahane , "Algorithm for Reducing Contracted Products of γ Matrices" J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1732 (1968).

[38] M. J. G. Veltman, "Gammatrica," Nucl. Phys. B **319**, 253 (1989).

[39] Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan, *Matrix computations*. Fourth edition, Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013. ISBN 9781421407944